For the Northern District of California

1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <i>ex rel.</i> SCOTT ROSE, et al.,		
10	Plaintiffs,	No. C 09-5966 PJH	
11	V.	ORDER RE CHAMBERS COPY	
12	STEPHENS INSTITUTE,		
13	Defendant.		
14		/	
15	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the chambers copy of plaintiffs' memorandum of point		
16	and authorities in opposition to defendant AAU's motion for protective order and to modify		
17	deadlines was submitted in a format that is not usable by the court.		
18	The chambers copy is not usable because it		
19 20		stack of loose paper;	
21	□ consists of a	stack of loose paper fastened with a binder clip or a paper clip;	
22	\Box is too thick to	permit secure fastening with a staple, and is fastened with a	
23	brad-type fastener that is too short for the thickness of the document;		
24	has no tabs for the voluminous exhibits;		
25	□ includes exhibits that are illegible;		
26	includes exhibits that are unreadable because the print is too small;		
27	□ includes text a	and/or footnotes in a font smaller than 12 point;	
28	□ includes porti	ons or exhibits that are redacted because the submitting party	
-	has requested	d leave to file those portions under seal;	

	includes exhibits that are not attached to a declaration or request for
judicia	al notice and are otherwise unidentified

The paper used for the above-described chambers copy has been recycled by the court. No later than July 31, 2014, plaintiffs shall submit a chambers copy in a format that is usable by the court. Specifically, the entire filing must be fastened together with a twopronged fastener, and all exhibits must be tabbed, as required by this court's pretrial instructions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 29, 2014

United States District Judge